

Hind Institute of Medical Sciences Mau, Ataria, Sitapur
King George's Medical University, Lucknow
(Regional Centre for Medical Education Technologies)
MCI OBSERVER'S REPORT

Name and Address of the Observer:

Dr. Jyoti Chopra
Professor
Department of Anatomy
KGMU Lucknow
Mobile- 9415404144

email: chopra71jyoti@yahoo.co.in

I) COURSE DETAILS

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1. | Name of the Institute where course was conducted: | Hind Institute of Medical Sciences Mau, Ataria, Sitapur |
| 2. | Name and Designation of course Director: | Prof. Akshay Kumar Dahyabhai Parikh
Professor Department of Pharmacology |
| 3. | Date and Duration of Course: | 15/03/2018 to 17/03/2018 (3 Days) |

II) DEMOGRAPHIC DETAILS

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|-----|
| 1. | Total number of faculty members: | 10 |
| 2. | Total number of participants: | 23 |
| | Level of faculty members- | |
| | Senior and experienced | 06 |
| | Mid level | 02 |
| | Junior level | 02 |
| 3. | Ratio of senior to mid level faculty | 3:1 |
| | Ratio of Mid to junior level faculty | 1:1 |

III) PRE WORKSHOP ORGANIZATION

1. The pre-workshop administration was appropriate and informative-
Excellent / **Good**[√] / Very Good / Average / Fair

2. The workshop facilities & location were appropriate and satisfactory-
Excellent / Good / Very Good / Average / **Fair**[√]

3. Learning resource material provided was clear and useful-
Excellent / **Good**[√] / Very Good / Average / Fair

4. Learning facilities
Excellent / Good / Very Good / **Average**[√] / Fair

IV) ORGANISATION OF WORKSHOP

Was the workshop organized as per the structured format-

1. Registration : **Yes**[√] / No
2. Evaluation – Pre test : **Yes**[√]
- Post test : **Yes**[√]
3. Structuring of program schedule as per MCI Guidelines : **Yes**[√] / No
4. Time allocation and management : Excellent / Good / **Average**[√]

V) EVALUATION OF SPEAKERS

Evaluation is to be done to assess Quality of presentation and content.
In evaluating please consider

1. Preparation of presentations-
2. Expression-
3. Clarity-
4. Punctuality-
5. Use of learning aids-
6. Effectivity of presentation (*ListAttached*)

Grades: 3- Excellent 2- Good 1- Average

VI) Evaluation of Participants

1. Time management : Excellent / Good / Average
2. Interaction by participants : Excellent / Good / Average
3. Presentation in microteaching sessions :- Not Conducted

4. Use of learning aids in presentation :-
VII) WORKSHOP AS A WHOLE

- | | |
|---------------------------|--|
| 1. Level of inputs | Excellent / Good [√] / Average |
| 2. Level of Participation | Excellent / Good [√] / Average |
| 3. Quality of inputs | Excellent / Good / Average [√] |
| 4. Outcome analysis | Excellent / Good / Average [√] |

VIII) OBSERVER'S REMARKS

- The infrastructure (venue, seating arrangements, seats) were not appropriate. Room was clustered. Seats were uncomfortable. One speaker did not complete the topic and went in between. Through the topic was completed by another faculty member but this behavior on part of that particular faculty member was unacceptable.

Date: 03/04/2018

Prof. Jyoti Chopra
Professor
Dept. of Anatomy
KGMU, Lucknow

Hind Institute of Medical Sciences, Mau, Atria, Sitapur
 Revised Basic Course Workshop in Medical Education Technologies
 Date: 15th March, 2018 to 17th March, 2018

Speaker's Evaluation Sheet

Sl. No.	Name of Speaker	Topic	Grade		
			3	2	1
1.	Dr. Akshay Kumar Dahyabhai Parikh	Introduction to assessment planning and quality assurance, writing correct essay question, short answer question and MCQ Discussion of ATCOM module			√
2.	Dr. Mohammad Zakiuddin	Effective clinical and practical skill teaching		√	
3.	Dr. Jai Shri Bogra	Matching assessment to competency, objective and learning			√
4.	Dr. Iram Khan	Interactive and innovative teaching methods including large group and small group and appropriate use of media		√	
5.	Dr. Sunil Kumar Gupta	Writing objectives, developing objectives from competencies, linking learning and assessment with competencies			√
6.	Dr. R.R. Singh	Internal assessment and formative assessment Feedback		√	
7.	Dr. Shweta Singh	Ice Breaking and group dynamics system's approach, principles of adult learning process Choosing teaching methods for objectives and competencies	√		
8.	Dr. Anjali Agarwal	learning domains and progression of learning Writing a lesson plan	√		
9.	Dr. Utkarsh Bansa!	Goals, roles and competencies Improving SDL through technology and educational networking	√		
10.	Dr. Naresh Chandra	Post test and briefing	√		

Grades:

3 → Excellent, 2 → Good 1 → Average

Hind Institute of Medical Sciences Mau, Ataria, Sitapur
King George's Medical University, Lucknow
(Regional Centre for Medical Education Technologies)
MCI OBSERVER'S REPORT

Name and Address of the Observer:

Dr. R. K. Dixit
Professor
Department of Pharmacology
KGMU Lucknow
Mobile- 9415089880
email: dixitkuarrakesh@gmail.com

I) COURSE DETAILS

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1. | Name of the Institute where course was conducted: | Hind Institute of Medical Sciences Mau, Ataria, Sitapur |
| 2. | Name and Designation of course Director: | Prof. A.K. Srivastava (Professor and Head Department of Anatomy Hind Institute of Medical Sciences Mau, Ataria, Sitapur) |
| 3. | Date and Duration of Course: | 01/05/2017 to 03/05/2017 (Total Duration of Threes Days) |

II) DEMOGRAPHIC DETAILS

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|------|
| 1. | Total number of faculty members: | 91 |
| 2. | Total number of participants: | 18 |
| | Level of faculty members- | |
| | Senior and experienced | 00 |
| | Mid level | 01 |
| | Junior level | 17 |
| 3. | Ratio of senior to mid level faculty | 0:0 |
| | Ratio of Mid to junior level faculty | 1:17 |

III) PRE WORKSHOP ORGANIZATION

1. The pre-workshop administration was appropriate and informative-
Excellent [√] / Good/Very Good/ Average/Fair
2. The workshop facilities & location were appropriate and satisfactory-
Excellent / Good/Very Good/ **Average** [√] /Fair
3. Learning resource material provided was clear and useful-
Excellent / Good/**Very Good** [√] / Average/Fair
4. Learning facilities
Excellent / Good/Very Good/ **Average** [√] /Fair

IV) ORGANISATION OF WORKSHOP

Was the workshop organized as per the structured format-

1. Registration : **Yes** [√] / No
2. Evaluation – Pre test : **Yes** [√]
Post test : **Yes** [√]
3. Structuring of program schedule as per MCI Guidelines : **Yes** [√] / No
4. Time allocation and management **Excellent** [√] / Good / Average

V) EVALUATION OF SPEAKERS

Evaluation is to be done to assess Quality of presentation and content.
In evaluating please consider

1. Preparation of presentations- **Excellent**
2. Expression- **Excellent**
3. Clarity- **Good**
4. Punctuality- **Excellent**
5. Use of learning aids- **Average**
6. Effectivity of presentation (**ListAttached**)

Grades: 3- Excellent 2- Good 1- Average

VI) Evaluation of Participants

1. Time management **Excellent** [√] / Good / Average
2. Interaction by participants **Excellent** / **Good** [√] / Average
3. Presentation in microteaching sessions :- Not Conducted
4. Use of learning aids in presentation :-Appropriate

VII) WORKSHOP AS A WHOLE

1. Level of inputs **Excellent** [√] / Good / Average
2. Level of Participation **Excellent** [√] / Good / Average
3. Quality of inputs **Excellent** [√] / Good / Average
4. Outcome analysis **Excellent** [√] / Good / Average

VIII) OBSERVER'S REMARKS

- The efforts by organizers were appreciable especially Prof. A. K. Srivastava and Dr. Shweta Singh did justice in organizing the workshop. The topic on goal role and competencies and selfdirected learning were clear, concise, well organized and presented. The icebreaking through an innovative exercise by giving the pairs for introduction is worth mentioning. Lecture on Assessment planning and quality assurance writing correct away question, short answer and MCQs was interactive and resolved the myths and doubts of the participants. Rest of the lectures was of good quality. Overall the teaching learning sessions were planned and executed according to the guidelines of Medical Education of India and were appropriate. The workshop was successfully conducted. **Remark is that the place of the workshop should be equipped with air condition, smart board, and uninterrupted power backup.**

Date: 30/09/2016

(Lucknow)

(Prof. Rakesh Kumar Dixit)

Professor

Department of Pharmacology
KGMU Lucknow

Department of Medical Education Technology
Hind Institute of Medical Sciences Mau, Ataria, sitapur
 Revised Basic Course Workshop in Medical Education Technologies
 Date: 01.05.2017 – 03.05.2017
Speaker's Evaluation Sheet

Sl. No.	Name of Speaker	Topic	Grade		
			3	2	1
1.	Dr. Sweta Singh	Introduction: ice breaking And Group Dynamics	√		
2.	Dr. Sweta Singh	System's Approach, Principles of adult learning, Learning process		√	
3.	Dr. Utkarsh Bansal	Goals, roles and Competencies: CBME *		√	
4.	Dr. Anjali Agarwal	Learning domains and Progression of learning			√
5.	Dr. A. K. Vaish	Objectives Writing, Developing, linking Learning and assessment with Competencies *		√	
6.	Dr. A. K. Vaish	Workshop on writing objectives		√	
7.	Dr. Naresh Chandra	Choosing a teaching method for objectives and competencies – workshop			√
8.	Dr. Imran Khan	Interactive and Innovative teaching methods including large Group (Demo), Small group (with demo) and appropriate use of media		√	
9.	Dr. Anjali Agarwal	Writing a lesson plan	√		
10.	Dr. A. K. Srivastava	Introduction to Assessment planning* and quality assurance, Writing the correct essay question, short answer question and MCQ	√		
11.	Dr. A. K. Srivastava	Discussion on AT-COM Module: Reflection and Narrative	√		
12.	Dr. R. R. Singh	Internal assessment and formative assessment		√	
13.	Dr. Ajay Kumar	Matching assessment to Competency, objectives and Learning workshop on Choosing the right Assessment		√	
14.	Dr. A. K. Harith	Effective clinical and Practical skill teaching	√		
15.	Dr. Ajay Kumar	Assessment of clinical and Practical skills		√	
16.	Dr. Utkarsh Bansal	Improving SDL through technology and educational networking for the growth	√		
17.	Dr. R. R. Singh	Feedback		√	
18.	Dr. A. K. Srivastava	Reflections	√		

Grades:

3 → Excellent, 2 → Good 1 → Average